



SHILAP Revista de Lepidopterología

ISSN: 0300-5267

avives@eresmas.net

Sociedad Hispano-Luso-Americana de
Lepidopterología
España

Lamas, G.

Nomenclatural notes on Satyrinae (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae)

SHILAP Revista de Lepidopterología, vol. 38, núm. 150, junio, 2010, pp. 197-204

Sociedad Hispano-Luso-Americana de Lepidopterología

Madrid, España

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=45518149010>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

Nomenclatural notes on Satyrinae (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae)

G. Lamas

Abstract

Nineteen nomenclatural problems affecting species group names of the world's Satyrinae are presented and discussed herein. The following replacement names are proposed (replaced name within parentheses): *Elymnias esaca tateishii* (*E. e. lautensis* Tateishi), *E. hypermnestra uemurai* (*E. nigrescens meliophila* Fruhstorfer), *Lethe confusa kalisi* (*L. rohria borneensis* Kalis), *L. sinorix xironis* (*L. s. obscura* Mell), *Oeneis buddha pelhami* (*O. b. pygmea* Gross), *Pharneuptychia haywardiana* (*Euptychia phares boliviana* Hayward), and *Ypthima taiwana* (*Y. methora formosana* Fruhstorfer). *Bicyclus denina* (Godart, [1824]) is a new combination, and *Mycalesis safitza* Westwood, 1850 is a new synonym of *B. denina*. The specific status of *Melanargia nemausiaca* (Esper, 1789), and *Quilaphoetus limonias* (Philippi, 1859), is reinstated, the latter being a new combination. Resolution of nomenclatural problems in five of the cases will require the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to use its plenary power.

KEY WORDS: Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, Nomenclature, replacement names.

Notas nomenclaturales sobre Satyrinae (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae)

Resumen

Se exponen y discuten 19 problemas nomenclaturales que afectan a diversos nombres del grupo de especie de los Satyrinae del mundo. Se proponen los siguientes nombres de reemplazo (entre paréntesis los nombres reemplazados): *Elymnias esaca tateishii* (*E. e. lautensis* Tateishi), *E. hypermnestra uemurai* (*E. nigrescens meliophila* Fruhstorfer), *Lethe confusa kalisi* (*L. rohria borneensis* Kalis), *L. sinorix xironis* (*L. s. obscura* Mell), *Oeneis buddha pelhami* (*O. b. pygmea* Gross), *Pharneuptychia haywardiana* (*Euptychia phares boliviana* Hayward), e *Ypthima taiwana* (*Y. methora formosana* Fruhstorfer). *Bicyclus denina* (Godart, [1824]) es una nueva combinación, y *Mycalesis safitza* Westwood, 1850 es un nuevo sinónimo de *B. denina*. Se restablece el estatus específico de *Melanargia nemausiaca* (Esper, 1789) y el de *Quilaphoetus limonias* (Philippi, 1859), siendo esta última una nueva combinación. En cinco de los casos, la resolución de sus problemas nomenclaturales deberá ser efectuada por la Comisión Internacional de Nomenclatura Zoológica por medio de su poder plenario.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, Nomenclatura, nombres de reemplazo.

Introduction

During research intended to establish a complete synonymical list of the butterflies (Hesperioidea + Papilionoidea) of the world for the "Global Butterfly Names" project (www.ucl.ac.uk/taxome/gbn), a disturbingly high number of nomenclatural problems involving species group names have been discovered. A few have already been discussed elsewhere (LAMAS, 2007, 2008; LAMAS & ROBBINS, 2009), mostly involving instances of junior homonymy that had been undetected. In this paper, I present nineteen cases that include junior homonymy, *nomina nuda*, *nomina dubia*, a mythical name, and erroneous applications of the Principle of Priority. The cases are arranged alphabetically by current genus.

G. LAMAS

1. *Satyrus denina* Godart, [1824]: 487.

Despite having been listed as a junior synonym of *Papilio delila* Fabricius, 1793 by KIRBY (1871: 93), this name was ignored by ACKERY *et al.* (1995: 292) when establishing *Mycalesis safitza* Westwood, 1850 (currently *Bicyclus safitza* [Westwood, 1850]), as the valid name to substitute *Papilio delila* Fabricius, invalid by reason of being a junior primary homonym of *Papilio delila* Fabricius, 1775 (currently *Dryas iulia delila* [Fabricius, 1775] - Nymphalidae: Heliconiinae) (LAMAS, 2004: 265). Actually, *Satyrus denina* was introduced by GODART ([1824]: 487) as a replacement name for *Papilio delila* Fabricius, 1793. Therefore, the valid name for the taxon is *Bicyclus denina* (Godart, [1824]), **new combination**, and *Mycalesis safitza* Westwood, 1850 is a **new synonym**.

2. *Papilio macularia* Martyn, 1797: pl. 23, figs. 61-62.

SHERBORN (1902: 571) treated this name as not having been published, which I consider a correct interpretation. According to GRIFFIN & SHERBORN (1931), no text was published for plate 23, and the name pertaining to the butterflies represented in it was “filled in afterwards by hand” (JANSON, 1898). The figures show the upper and underside of a female *Cercyonis pegala alope* (Fabricius, 1793), supposedly from “Brazils”, but most likely from the United States, Georgia, from where it may have been sent to Martyn by John Abbot (GILBERT, 1998: 30). It should be treated as a *nomen nudum*.

3. *Elymnias esaca lautensis* Tateishi, 2001: 13, pl. 3, figs. 1-2.

This recently named subspecies is invalid, because it is a junior primary homonym of *Elymnias harterti lautensis* Medicielo & Hanafusa, 1994. It is replaced by *Elymnias esaca tateishii* Lamas, **nomen novum**.

4. *Elymnias nigrescens meliophila* Fruhstorfer, 1896b: 147.

This name is currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Elymnias hypermnestra* (Linnaeus, 1763) (UÉMURA, 2008: 2, as *melitophila*). However, *Elymnias nigrescens meliophila* [published on 15 December 1896, and described from Lombok] is a junior primary homonym of *Elymnias meliophila* Fruhstorfer, 1896a [published on 15 May 1896, and described from Salayer], currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Elymnias hewitsoni* Wallace, 1869 (VANE-WRIGHT & DE JONG, 2003: 175). The first name above was later misspelled *Elymnias nigrescens melitophila* [sic] by FRUHSTORFER (1897: 4), and redescribed as a new (!) subspecies by FRUHSTORFER (1898: 342), as *E. n. melitophila*; the 1898 redescription is almost identical, word by word, to the 1896b original description. For the Lombok subspecies I propose the replacement name *Elymnias hypermnestra uemurai* Lamas, **nomen novum**.

5. *Papilio hypermnestra* Linnaeus, 1763: 24.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Elymnias* Hübner, 1818 (HONEY & SCOBLE, 2001: 335). It is a junior primary homonym of *Papilio hypermnestra* Scopoli, 1763, currently treated as a synonym of *Zerynthia polyxena* ([Schiffmüller], 1775) - Papilionidae: Parnassiinae (HESSELBARTH *et al.*, 1995: 245). *Papilio hypermnestra* Linnaeus was published not earlier than 23 June 1763, whereas there is no doubt that *Papilio hypermnestra* Scopoli was published before that date (EVENHUIS, 1997: 719). Therefore, Linnaeus' *hypermnestra* should be replaced by its earliest available synonym (*Papilio undularis* Drury, 1773, currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Elymnias hypermnestra*) (TALBOT, [1949]: 386). Likewise, Schiffmüller's *polyxena* would have to be replaced by its senior synonym, *Papilio hypermnestra* Scopoli, 1763.

However, these changes to two widely-used names of very long standing would be extremely disruptive, and an application will have to be presented to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature for a ruling under the plenary power. A further complication is that *Papilio polyxena* [Schiffmüller], 1775 has been placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2683 (ICZN, 1979: 102).

6. *Papilio arachne* [Schiffermüller], 1775: 169.

This name is currently regarded as a senior synonym of *Erebia pronoe* (Esper, 1780), but (wrongly) considered invalid as a junior primary homonym of *Papilio arachne* Cramer, 1775 (WARREN, 1936: 308). In fact, Schiffermüller's work has priority over Cramer's (ICZN, 1958), and the junior primary homonym is Cramer's name, which has been replaced by its earliest available synonym, *Memphis acidalia* (Hübner, [1819]) (LAMAS, 2004: 226). According to KUDRNA & BELICEK (2005: 15), Schiffermüller's *Papilio arachne* "...is available under the present Code, but it cannot be applied without 'reasonable doubts' to any known species...", and therefore these authors set it aside as a *nomen dubium*.

7. *Erebia herse* Grum-Grshimaïlo, 1891: 457.

This name was regarded as a valid species of *Grumia* Della Bruna, Gallo, Lucarelli & Sbordoni, 2000 (DELLA BRUNA *et al.*, 2000a: 31). However, *Grumia* Della Bruna, Gallo, Lucarelli & Sbordoni, 2000 is invalid because it is a junior homonym of *Grumia* Alphéraky, 1892, and was replaced by *Eugrumia* Della Bruna, Gallo, Lucarelli & Sbordoni, 2000 (DELLA BRUNA *et al.*, 2000b: 297).

Notwithstanding this situation, before being transferred to *Eugrumia*, *Erebia herse* Grum-Grshimaïlo was a junior secondary homonym of *Papilio herse* Borkhausen, 1788 (currently regarded as a junior subjective synonym of *Erebia tyndarus* (Esper, 1781) (WARREN, 1936: 284), because both *Papilio herse* Borkhausen and *Erebia herse* Grum-Grshimaïlo were classified then as members of *Erebia* Dalman, 1816 (e. g. GAEDE, 1931: 573, 651). Fortunately, Article 59.2 of the Code (ICZN, 1999: 61) states that "If in a case of secondary homonymy the junior species-group name has not been replaced..., and the relevant taxa are no longer considered congeneric, the junior name is not to be rejected..." Thus, *Eugrumia herse* (Grum-Grshimaïlo, 1891) remains available and valid, whereas *Papilio herse* Borkhausen, 1788 is a junior primary homonym of *Papilio herse* Hufnagel, 1766 (currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Argynnis niobe* [Linnaeus, 1758] - Nymphalidae: Heliconiinae) (TUZOV, 2003: 30).

8. *Papilio narcissus* Fabricius, 1798: 428.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Heteropsis* Westwood, 1850 (LEES & MINET, 2003: [773], [788]), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Papilio narcissus* Fabricius, 1775 (currently *Hypochrysois narcissus* [Fabricius, 1775] - Lycaenidae: Theclinae) (EDWARDS *et al.*, 2001: 231). As correctly indicated by LEES & MINET (2003: [788]), this case needs to be referred to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to decide whether *Papilio narcissus* Fabricius can be retained for this taxon, or should be replaced by the earliest available name (in this case, *Mycales fraterna* Butler, 1868).

9. "*Pararge aegeria* var. *intermedia* Weismann, 1875"

The name "*Pararge aegeria* var. *intermedia*" has been wrongly attributed to "Weismann, 1875" (e. g., SEITZ, 1908: 133, 150; GAEDE, 1931: 255). Actually, this is a myth, as Weismann never published such a name (VERITY, 1953: 13). What Weismann did was refer to a "Mittelform" from the Ligurian coast, Italy, connecting *Pararga* [sic] *egeria* [sic] to its "südliche Varietät" *meione* [sic] (WEISMANN, 1874: 257; 1875: 49). The name *intermedia* was first introduced by HEYNE (1894: 581), but as he provided no description, it is a *nomen nudum*. The name was finally made available by SEITZ (1907), when he applied it to a figure on his plate 45, row a, fig. [2], and is currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Pararge aegeria* (Linnaeus, 1758) (GAEDE, 1931; VERITY, 1953).

10. *Lethe rohria borneensis* Kalis, 1933: 69.

This name is currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Lethe confusa* Aurivillius, 1898 (UÉMURA, pers. comm.), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Lethe darena* var. *borneensis* Staudinger, 1897, currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Lethe darena* (C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867) (D'ABRERA, 1985: 424). It is replaced herein by *Lethe confusa kalisi* Lamas, **nomen novum**.

G. LAMAS

11. *Papilio arete* Stoll, 1780: 50, pl. 313, figs. E-F.

Currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Lethe europa* (Fabricius, 1775) (AOKI *et al.*, 1982: 477). A junior primary homonym of *Papilio arete* Müller, 1764 (currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Aphantopus hyperantus* [Linnaeus, 1758]) (LERAUT, 1997: 188). As these names apply to taxa not considered congeneric after 1899, the case needs to be referred to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature for a ruling under the plenary power (ICZN, 1999: Art. 23.9.5).

12. *Lethe sinorix obscura* Mell, 1942: 274.

This name is currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Lethe sinorix* (Hewitson, 1863) (CHOU, 1994: 62), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Lethe callipteris obscura* Nakahara, 1926 (currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Lethe callipteris* [Butler, 1877]) (INOMATA & ITAGAKI, 1986: 160, as *Zophoessa callipteris*). It is replaced by ***Lethe sinorix xironis*** Lamas, **nomen novum**.

13. *Callerebia ypthimoides* Oberthür, 1891: 14, pl. 2, fig. 16.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Loxerebia* Watkins, 1925 (DELLA BRUNA *et al.*, 2000a: 23), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Callerebia ypthimoides* Moore, 1881 (currently *Ypthima ypthimoides* [Moore, 1881]) (TALBOT, [1949]: 326). Although *ypthimoides* Oberthür and *ypthimoides* Moore are spelled differently, they are considered variant spellings of the same derivation and meaning, and thus are homonymous under Art. 58.6 of the Code (ICZN, 1999: 61). However, as these names apply to taxa not considered congeneric after 1899, the case needs to be referred to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature for a ruling under the plenary power (ICZN, 1999: Art. 23.9.5).

14. *Papilio nemausiaca* Esper, 1789: 15, pl. 96, figs. 1-2.

This name is currently regarded as a synonym of *Melanargia lachesis* (Hübner, 1790) (BOZANO, 2002: 10). However, as shown by the publication dates elucidated by HEPPNER (1981: 252) and HEMMING (1937: 45), the name *nemausiaca* Esper is definitely older than *lachesis* Hübner. Regrettably, *nemausiaca* cannot be considered a *nomen oblitum*, as has been wrongly interpreted by LERAUT (1997: 189), as it was used as a valid name after 1899 (ICZN, 1999: Art. 23.9.1.1) by OBERTHÜR (1909: 350), who treated it as a “forme géographique” of *Melanargia lachesis*. Therefore, the valid name of this taxon ought to be ***Melanargia nemausiaca*** (Esper, 1789), **reinstated status**.

Notwithstanding this, if an author considers that the use of *nemausiaca* would threaten stability or universality or cause confusion, and wishes to maintain use of *lachesis*, the matter must be referred to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature for a ruling under the plenary power (ICZN: Art. 23.9.3).

15. *Oeneis buddha pygmea* Gross, 1970: 19.

This name is currently regarded as a valid subspecies of *Oeneis buddha* Grun-Grshimailo, 1891 (LUKHTANOV & EITSCHBERGER, 2000: 10), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Oeneis norma* var. *pygmaea* Sahlberg, 1895 (currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Oeneis norma* [Thunberg, 1791]) (PELHAM, pers. comm.). It is replaced by ***Oeneis buddha pelhami*** Lamas, **nomen novum**.

16. *Euptychia phares boliviana* Hayward, 1957: 116, fig. 4.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Pharneuptychia* Forster, 1964 (LAMAS, 2004: 221), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Euptychia boliviana* Godman, 1905 (currently *Forsterinaria boliviana* [Godman, 1905]) (LAMAS, 2004: 219). It is replaced by ***Pharneuptychia haywardiana*** Lamas, **nomen novum**.

17. *Papilio hippolyte* Esper, 1783: 164.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951 (GORBUNOV,

NOMENCLATURAL NOTES ON SATYRINAE

2001: 221), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Papilio hyppolite* Drury, 1782 (currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Pseudacraea semire* [Cramer, 1779] - Nymphalidae: Limentidinae) (ACKERY *et al.*, 1995: 388). Although *hippolyte* Esper and *hyppolite* Drury are spelled differently, they are considered variant spellings of the same derivation and meaning, and thus are homonymous under Art. 58.2 of the Code (ICZN, 1999: 60). However, these names apply to taxa not considered congeneric after 1899, so the case needs to be referred to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature for a ruling under the plenary power (ICZN, 1999: Art. 23.9.5).

18. *Satyrus janirioides* Blanchard, 1852: 34.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Quilaphoetus* Herrera, 1966 (LAMAS & VILORIA, 2004: 217), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Satyrus janirioides* Herrich-Schäffer, 1851 (currently *Pyronia janirioides* [Herrich-Schäffer, 1851]) (TENNET, 1996: 83). Although *janirioides* Blanchard and *janirioides* Herrich-Schäffer are spelled differently, they are considered variant spellings of the same derivation and meaning, and thus are homonymous under Art. 58.15 of the Code (ICZN, 1999: 61). Both names apply to taxa considered congeneric after 1899 (e. g. GAEDE, 1931: 206, 236). Thus, GAEDE (1931: 236) was correct in giving precedence to *Satyrus limonias* Philippi over *S. janirioides* Blanchard, and LAMAS & VILORIA (2004: 217) were mistaken. The valid name of this taxon is *Quilaphoetus limonias* (Philippi, 1859), **reinstated status and new combination**.

19. *Ypthima methora formosana* Fruhstorfer, 1908: 119.

This name is currently regarded as a valid species of *Ypthima* Hübner, 1818 (TAKÁHASHI, 2000: 2), but it is a junior primary homonym of *Ypthima formosana* Matsumura, 1907 (currently treated as a junior subjective synonym of *Ypthima multistriata* Butler, 1883) (INOMATA *et al.*, 2000: 79).

Ypthima formosana was briefly described from Taiwan in a short article (MATSUMURA, 1907: 48). Inexplicably, this taxon was not recorded in Matsumura's initial list of Japanese Satyrinae or his list of Formosan butterflies (MATSUMURA, 1909a, b). Only 10 years later did he mention it again, as a variety of *Y. motschulskyi* Bremer & Grey, 1853 (MATSUMURA, 1919: 10). Later, for some obscure reason, MATSUMURA (1929: 10) decided that his *formosana* (which he now listed as a subspecies of *motschulskyi*) was preoccupied by Fruhstorfer's *formosana*, and replaced the former with the name *formosicola*, which is an unnecessary substitute name.

The nomenclatural action taken by TAKÁHASHI (2000: 2-3), stating that *Ypthima formosana* Matsumura is a *nomen oblitum*, and *Y. methora formosana* Fruhstorfer is a *nomen protectum*, is invalid, because the conditions required by Article 23.9.1 of the Code (ICZN, 1999: 28) to allow that action are not met. Instead, that author should have referred the matter to the Commission for a ruling under the plenary power (ICZN, 1999: Art. 23.9.3). *Ypthima methora formosana* Fruhstorfer is replaced by *Ypthima taiwana* Lamas, **nomen novum**.

Acknowledgements

Invaluable bibliographical assistance was provided by library staff at Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. My special gratitude to Yoshinobu Uémura (Tsukuba, Japan), Jorge M. González (College Station, Texas), Josef Grieshuber (Bad Griesbach, Germany), and James S. Miller (New York, New York), for tracing down and supplying copies of arcane references. Robert K. Robbins (Washington, D. C.) was kind and patient enough to go through the manuscript, making useful suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ACKERY, P. R., SMITH, C. R. & VANE-WRIGHT, R. I., 1995.— *Carcasson's African butterflies. An annotated catalogue of the Papilionoidea and Hesperioidea of the Afrotropical region*: xi + 803 pp. CSIRO, East Melbourne.

G. LAMAS

- AOKI, T., YAMAGUCHI, S. & UÉMURA, Y., 1982.– In: TSUKADA, E. (ed.), *Butterflies of the South East Asian Islands. III. Satyridae - Libytheidae*: 500 pp., 113 pls. PLAPAC Co., Tokyo.
- BLANCHARD, C. E., 1852.– *Orden VI. Lepidópteros*. In: GAY, C. (ed.), *Historia física y política de Chile según documentos adquiridos en esta república durante doce años de residencia en ella y publicada bajo los auspicios del Supremo Gobierno*. *Zoología*, 7: 1-112. Claude Gay, Paris.
- BOZANO, G. C., 2002.– *Guide to the butterflies of the Palearctic region. Satyrinae part III*: 71 pp. Omnes Artes, Milano.
- CHOU, I., 1994.– *Monographia Rhopalocerorum Sinensium*, 1: [viii] + 408 pp. Henan Scientific and Technological Publishing House, Henan.
- D'ABRERA, B., 1985.– *Butterflies of the Oriental region. Part II. Nymphalidae, Satyridae & Amathusidae*: [vi] + 245-534 pp. Hill House, Melbourne.
- DELLA BRUNA, C., GALLO, E., LUCARELLI, M. & SBORDONI, V., 2001a.– In: BOZANO, G. C. (ed.), *Guide to the butterflies of the Palearctic region. Satyrinae part II*: 58 pp. Omnes Artes, Milano.
- DELLA BRUNA, C., GALLO, E., LUCARELLI, M. & SBORDONI, V., 2001b.– *Eugrumia*, a new name for *Grumia* (Lepidoptera: Satyridae, Satyrini, Ypthimini).– *Fragm. ent.*, **32**(2): 297-298.
- EDWARDS, E. D., NEWLAND, J. & REGAN, L., 2001.– *Zoological catalogue of Australia. 31.6: Lepidoptera. Hesperioidea, Papilionoidea*: x + 610 pp. CSIRO, Collingwood.
- ESPER, E. J. C., 1783.– *Die Schmetterlinge in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Beschreibungen*, (1)2(8): 157-172, pls. 83-88. Wolfgang Walther, Erlangen.
- ESPER, E. J. C., 1789.– *Die Schmetterlinge in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Beschreibungen. Fortsetzung*, 1(1): 1-60, pls. 94-101. Wolfgang Walther, Erlangen.
- EVENHUIS, N. L., 1997.– *Litteratura taxonomica dipterorum (1758-1930)*, 2: 427-871. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden.
- FABRICIUS, J. C., 1798.– *Supplementum. Entomologiae systematicae*: [iv] + 572 pp. Proft et Storch, Hafniae.
- FRUHSTORFER, H., 1896a.– Zwei neue Rhopaloceren von der Insel Saleyer.– *Soc. ent.*, **11**(4): 25.
- FRUHSTORFER, H., 1896b.– Lepidopteren aus Lombok und Bali. V.– *Soc. ent.*, **11**(18): 147-148.
- FRUHSTORFER, H., 1897.– Aufzählung der von mir auf der Insel Lombok im Jahre 1896 gefangenen Rhopaloceren.– *Berl. ent. Zeitschr.*, **42**(1/2): 1-14.
- FRUHSTORFER, H., 1898.– Neue Rhopaloceren aus dem malayischen Archipel.– *Berl. ent. Zeitschr.*, **42**(3/4): 311-343.
- FRUHSTORFER, H., 1908.– Lepidopterologisches Pêle-Mêle. VI. Neue Rhopaloceren von Formosa.– *Ent. Zeitschr.*, **22**(29): 118-119.
- GAEDE, M., 1931.– Familia Satyridae.– *Lepid. Cat.*, **43**: 1-320; **46**: 321-544; **48**: 545-759.
- GILBERT, P., 1998.– *John Abbot. Birds, butterflies and other wonders*: 128 pp., 60 pls. Merrel Holbert Publishers, London.
- GODART, J. B., [1824].– Pp. 329-706, 708-711, 794-828. In: Latreille, P. A. & Godart, J. B., *Encyclopédie Méthodique. Histoire naturelle. Entomologie, ou histoire naturelle des crustacés, des arachnides et des insectes*, **9**(2): 329-828. Veuve Agasse, Paris.
- GORBUNOV, P. Y., 2001.– *The butterflies of Russia: classification, genitalia, keys for identification (Lepidoptera: Hesperioidea and Papilionoidea)*: 320 pp., 13 pls. Thesis, Ekaterinburg.
- GRIFFIN, F. J. & SHERBORN, C. D., 1931.– A census of the known copies of "Martin (T.) Psyche" 1797.– *Library Assoc. Rec.*, (3)1(6): 192-196.
- GROSS, F. J., 1970.– Zur Systematik und Verbreitung der Arten der Gattung *Oeneis* Hübner (Lep., Satyridae).– *Mitt. Münchn. ent. Ges.*, **58**: 1-26.
- GRUM-GRSHIMAÏLO, G., 1891.– Lepidoptera nova in Asia centrali novissime lecta.– *Horae Soc. ent. ross.*, **25**(3/4): 445-465.
- HAYWARD, K. J., 1957.– Nuevas *Euptychia* de Bolivia (Lepidoptera Satyridae).– *Revta chil. Ent.*, **5**: 107-121.
- HEMMING, A. F., 1937.– *Hübner. A bibliographical and systematic account of the entomological works of Jacob Hübner and of the supplements thereto by Carl Geyer, Gottfried Franz von Fröhlich and Gottlieb August Wilhelm Herrich-Schäffer*, 1: xxxiv + 605 pp., frontisp.; 2: ix + [1] + 270 pp. Royal Entomological Society, London.
- HEPPNER, J. B., 1981.– The dates of E. J. C. Esper's *Die Schmetterlinge in Abbildungen...* 1776-[1830].– *Arch. nat. Hist.*, **10**(2): 251-254.
- HESELBARTH, G., VAN OORSCHOT, H. & WAGENER, S., 1995.– *Die Tagfalter der Türkei unter Berücksichtigung der angrenzenden Länder*, 2: 755-1354. Sigbert Wagener, Bocholt.
- HEYNE, A., 1894.– In: RÜHL, F. & HEYNE, A., 1892-1895, *Die palaearktischen Grossschmetterlinge und ihre Naturgeschichte. 1: Tagfalter*: 857 pp. Ernst Heyne, Leipzig.

NOMENCLATURAL NOTES ON SATYRINAE

- HONEY, M. R. & SCOBLE, M. J., 2001.– Linnaeus' butterflies (Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea and Hesperioidea).– *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.*, **132**(3): 277-399.
- INOMATA, T. & ITAGAKI, Y., 1986.– *Part I. Synonymic Catalogue of the butterflies of Japan*: pp. 97-170. In: INOMATA, T., IKEDA, M., KISHIDA, Y., ITAGAKI, Y. & KANADA, Y., *Atlas of the Japanese butterflies*. Take-Shobo, Tokyo.
- INOMATA, T., UÉMURA, Y. & YOSHIMOTO, H., 2000.– Nomenclatural comments on the new species and replacement names of butterflies by Matsumura, 1907 and 1929.– *Trans. lepid. Soc. Japan*, **52**(1): 67-80.
- INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE (ICZN), 1958.– Opinion 516. Determination under the Plenary Powers of the relative precedence to be assigned to certain works of the Order Lepidoptera (Class Insecta) published in 1775 by Pieter Cramer, Michael Denis & Ignaz Schiffermüller, Johann Christian Fabricius, Johann Caspar Fuessly, and S. A. von Rottemburg, respectively.– *Opin. Decl. rend. int. Comm. zool. Nomencl.*, **19**(1): 1-43.
- INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE (ICZN), 1979.– Opinion 1134. *Zerynthia* Ochseneimer, 1816 (Insecta Lepidoptera) conserved under the plenary power.– *Bull. zool. Nomencl.*, **36**(2): 102-104.
- INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE (ICZN), 1999.– *International Code of zoological Nomenclature. Fourth edition adopted by the International Union of Biological Sciences*: xxix + 306 pp. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London.
- JANSON, O. E., 1898.– Martyn's "Psyche".– *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.*, (7)**1**: 184.
- KALIS, J. P. A., 1933.– Bijdrage tot de kennis van de Lepidoptera Rhopalocera van Nederlandsch-Indië.– *Tijdschr. Ent.*, **76**(1/2): 47-86.
- KIRBY, W. F., 1871.– *A Synonymic Catalogue of Diurnal Lepidoptera*: vii + 690 pp. John Van Voorst, London.
- KUDRNA, O. & BELICEK, J., 2005.– On the "Wiener Verzeichniss", its authorship and the butterflies named therein.– *Oedipus*, **23**: 1-32.
- LAMAS, G., 2004.– *Checklist: Part 4A. Hesperioidea - Papilionoidea*. In: HEPPNER, J. B. (ed.), *Atlas of Neotropical Lepidoptera*. **5A**: xxxv + 439 pp. Association for Tropical Lepidoptera / Scientific Publishers, Gainesville.
- LAMAS, G., 2007.– Four new names for Afrotropical Lycaenidae.– *Metamorphosis*, **18**(4): 128-130.
- LAMAS, G., 2008.– Twelve new species-group replacement names and further nomenclatural notes on Lycaenidae (Lepidoptera).– *Zootaxa*, **1848**: 47-56.
- LAMAS, G. & ROBBINS, R. K., 2009.– A replacement name for *Thecla maculata* Lathy, 1936 (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae, Eumaeini).– *Proc. ent. Soc. Washington*, **111**(3): 758.
- LAMAS, G. & VILORIA, A. L., 2004.– In: LAMAS, G., *Checklist: Part 4A. Hesperioidea - Papilionoidea*. In: HEPPNER, J. B. (ed.), *Atlas of Neotropical Lepidoptera*. **5A**: xxxv + 439 pp. Association for Tropical Lepidoptera / Scientific Publishers, Gainesville.
- LEES, D. C. & MINET, J., 2003.– *Lepidoptera: Systematics and diversity*, pp. 748-793. In: GOODMAN, S. M. & BENSTEAD, J. P. (eds.), *The Natural History of Madagascar*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London.
- LERAUT, P. J. A., 1997.– *Liste systématique et synonymique des lépidoptères de France, Belgique et Corse (deuxième édition)*: 526 pp. Alexanor, Paris.
- LINNAEUS, C., 1763.– In: JOHANSSON, B., *Centuria insectorum rariorum*: [vi] + 32 pp. Upsala.
- LUKHTANOV, V. A. & EITSCHBERGER, U. F. J., 2000.– Illustrierter Katalog der Gattungen *Oeneis* und *Davidina* (Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, Oeneini).– *Schmetterlinge der Erde*, **11**: 1-12, pls. 1-26, A-B.
- MARTYN, T., 1797.– *Psyche. Figures of non descript Lepidopterous Insects, or rare Moths and Butterflies from different parts of the World. Figures des Insectes Lépidoptères des différentes parties du Globe, dont il n'y a point encore eu de description*, (1): wrappers, [i-ii], 1-6, 1-6, pls. 1-2; (2): wrappers, pp. 7-8, 7-8, pls. 3-4. Author, London.
- MATSUMURA, S., 1907.– [Notes on the butterflies of Niitakayama].– *Ins. World.*, **11**(1): 5-8, (2): 47-50. [In Japanese]
- MATSUMURA, S., 1909a.– Die Danaiden und Satyriden Japans.– *Ent. Zeitschr.*, **23**(19): 91-92.
- MATSUMURA, S., 1909b.– [List of Formosan butterflies].– *Zool. Mag. (Tokyo)*, **21**(251): 389-396, (253): 479-481. [In Japanese]
- MATSUMURA, S., 1919.– *Thousand insects of Japan. Additamenta*, **3**: 1-2, 475-742, 1-34, pls. 26-53. Keiseisha, Tokyo.
- MATSUMURA, S., 1929.– *Illustrated common insects of Japan. 1. Butterflies*: 45 + 66 + 37 + [3] pp., 16 pls. Shunyodo, Tokyo.
- MELL, R., 1942.– Beiträge zur Fauna sinica. XXII. Inventur und ökologisches Material zur eine Biologie der

G. LAMAS

- südchinesischen Lepidopteren: die Amathusiiden und Satyriden Süd- (und Südost-) Chinas.– *Arch. Naturg. (Neue Folge)*, **11**(3): 221-292, pls. 1-3.
- OBERTHÜR, C., 1891.– Nouveaux lépidoptères d'Asie.– *Étud. Ent.*, [**15**]: 7-25, pls. 1-3.
- OBERTHÜR, C., 1909.– Notes pour servir à établir la faune française et algérienne des lépidoptères.– *Étud. Lépid. comp.*, **3**: 101-403, pls. 11, 16-20, 23-26.
- [SCHIFFERMÜLLER, I.], 1775.– *Ankündigung eines systematischen Werkes von den Schmetterlingen der Wienergegend*: 323 pp., 2 pls. Augustin Bernardi, Wien.
- SEITZ, A., 1907-1909.– *Die Gross-Schmetterlinge der Erde. Eine systematische Bearbeitung der bis jetzt bekannten Grossschmetterlinge. I. Die Grossschmetterlinge des palaearktischen Faunengebietes. 1. Die palaearktischen Tagfalter*: [iv] + viii + 379 pp., 89 pls. Fritz Lehmann, Stuttgart.
- SHERBORN, C. D., 1902.– *Index Animalium sive index nominis quae ab A. D. MDCCLVIII generibus et speciebus animalium imposita sunt. Sectio prima*: lix + 1195 pp. Typographio Academico, Cantabrigiae.
- STOLL, C., 1780.– In: CRAMER, P., *De uitlandische Kapellen voorkomende in de drie Waereld-Deelen Asia, Africa en America. Papillons exotiques des trois parties du monde l'Asie, l'Afrique et l'Amérique*, **4**(26/28): 29-90, pls. 305-336 (1780), (29/31): 91-164, pls. 337-372 (1781), (32/34): 165-252, pls. 373-400 (1782). J. S. Baalde, Amsteldam; Barthelemy Wild, Utrecht.
- TAKÁHASHI, M., 2000.– A revision of the *Ypthima sakra* group (Lepidoptera, Satyridae) in Taiwan, China, with description of a new species.– *Trans. lepid. Soc. Japan*, **51**(1): 1-18.
- TALBOT, G., [1949].– *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Butterflies*, **2**: xv + 506 pp., 1 map. Taylor and Francis, London.
- TATEISHI, U., 2001.– A review of *Elymnias esaca* Westwood with descriptions of four new subspecies from South East Asia (Lepidoptera: Satyridae).– *Futao*, **39**: 13-17, pl. 3.
- TENNENT, J., 1996.– *The butterflies of Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia*: xxxvi + 217 pp. Gem Publishing Company, Wallingford.
- TUZOV, V. K., 2003.– In: BOZANO, G. C. (ed.), *Guide to the butterflies of the Palearctic region. Nymphalidae part I*: 64 pp. Omnes Artes, Milano.
- UÉMURA, Y., 2008.– An inventory of the butterflies from Lombok, Sumbawa and Sumba of the Lesser Sunda islands.– *Bull. Toyosato Mus. Ent.*, **17**: 1-8.
- VANE-WRIGHT, R. I. & DE JONG, R., 2003.– The butterflies of Sulawesi: annotated checklist for a critical island fauna.– *Zool. Verh.*, **343**: 3-267, 16 pls.
- VERITY, R., 1953.– *Le farfalle diurne d'Italia. Volume quinto. Divisione Papilionida. Sezione Nymphalina. Famiglia Satyridae*: xviii + 354 pp. Marzocco, Firenze.
- WARREN, B.C.S., 1936.– *Monograph of the genus Erebia*: vii + 407 pp., 104 pls. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- WEISMANN, A., 1874.– Über den Saison-Dimorphismus der Schmetterlinge.– *Annali Mus. civ. Stor. nat. Genova*, **6**: 209-302, pls. 8-9.
- WEISMANN, A., 1875.– *Studien zur Descendenz-Theorie. I. Ueber den Saison - Dimorphismus der Schmetterlinge*: iv + 95 pp., 2 pls. Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig.

G. L.
 Museo de Historia Natural
 Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos
 Apartado 14-0434
 Lima-14
 PERÚ / PERU
 E-mail: glamasm@unmsm.edu.pe

(Recibido para publicación / Received for publication 25-I-2010)
 (Revisado y aceptado / Revised and accepted 14-III-2010)
 (Publicado / Published 30-VI-2010)